



COMMUNISM IS TREASON!

FIGHT IT WITH . . .

Common Sense®

LEADER IN THE NATION'S FIGHT AGAINST COMMUNISM

® Trade-mark registered 1948 United States Patent Office

Issue No. 445 (20th Year) Feb. 15, 1965

Second Class Postage Paid at Union, New Jersey, U.S.A.

Subscription \$3.00 Yearly

"The truth,
the whole truth,
and nothing
but the truth
... without fear
or favor"

Conde McGinley
1890 • FOUNDER • 1963

1965 - THE YEAR OF THE SNAKE

"It is our true policy to steer clear of permanent alliances
with any portion of the foreign world." —George Washington

Reprinted from H. du B. Report, Paris

By Asia's calendar 1965 is the year of the snake, a year of evil portent. Though diplomatic doldrums marked its opening weeks, great changes are in store.

McGeorge Bundy, principal foreign policy advisor to the White House (his claim to preeminence was his loyalty to Russian spy Alger Hiss) winged his way to Southeast Asia—to tell President Johnson what to do about North Vietnam, where Russia's leaders were offering technicians and missiles at the same time. He is expected to advise a ground-yielding, retreat into neo-isolation, lest firmness lead to conflict with Peking. Edmund Burke once averred that the concessions of the weak are concessions of fear. Mr. Bundy might be reminded that to Peking any concession is an admission of fear. No American move will lead to conflict with Peking unless Peking has decided on conflict and is seeking a pretext.

Peking, according to vibrations in Paris, which is the world sounding-board for intelligence reports, has been on a war-footing since early 1964. Military control has been extended to all sectors of transport, industry, economy and commerce. Military commissars work to turn China's 700 million blue ants into production warriors, drugged with the opiate of China's greatness and invincibility. Behind Peking's 2.6 million-man army of 158 combat divisions, supported by fanatical workmen, is ignorant national acceptance of the "greatest nation on earth" myth. Under the circumstances, Mr. Bundy's anticipated counsel will be a tray-proffered invitation for Peking to embark on a military venture, based on bluff and a highly over-rated military machine. So why the pre-storm calm of the west? One answer is that two and possibly three elections are in the offing, in France, Germany and Britain. France is due to go to the polls in March. Gaston Deferre, the socialist mayor of Marseilles, received the blessing of the internationalists at a parliamentary conference of Prince Bernhard's Bilderberger group in Williamsburg, Virginia, last spring. (Bilderbergers are not elected; no conservatives sit in their secret councils. Prince Bernhard and his inner committee select and dub as "world leaders" the international liberals with whom they will legislate.)

Extreme Left Pierre Mendes-France may yet ease out Deferre to become the presidential candidate of France's Socialist-Communist Popular Front. Mon. Jean-Louis Tixier-Vignancourt, the courageous lawyer who saved General Salan from the firing squad, is the candidate of the conservatives. But De Gaulle has the radio, TV, press, and above all the police. (On five minutes' notice, day or night, 5,000 police brigades stand ready to move into the streets.) Unmentioned in the press blackout is the fact that some 38,000 French communities will also choose new heads in the March elections. The communists are working hard, and a 51% victory would legally communize France.



Paul Henry Spaak, Belgian Socialist, Marxist representative at the Common Market and promoter of regional one-worldism through its framework, contemplates next move.

In Germany, Chancellor Ludwig Erhard will fight the combined weight of international socialists, American-manipulated labor unions and native leftists campaigning for Willy Brandt. In Britain, if Labor's narrow majority makes Wilson's position untenable, the nation may again go to the polls. Italy, not facing national elections, is none-the-less unstable. Without the spectre of inflation and a sinking economy, one out of three Italians already votes communist.

None of these coming problems alarmed Americans. Politicians who, prior to Nov. 3, gleefully frightened America's oldsters with TV films showing the aged losing their social security cards if Barry Goldwater were elected, piously deplored the exploitation of the country's old and sick. And President Johnson, spouting about a "free America," prepared to wipe right-to-work laws off the nation's books, a move which will enforce

WASHINGTON'S FAREWELL ADDRESS

"Against the insidious wiles of foreign influence, (I conjure you to believe me, fellow citizens) the jealousy of a free people ought to be constantly awake: since history and experience prove that foreign influence is one of the most baneful foes of republican government.

"Why forego the advantages of so peculiar a situation? Why quit our own to stand upon foreign ground? Why, by interweaving our destiny with that of any part of Europe, entangle our peace and prosperity?

"It is our true policy to steer clear of permanent alliances, with any portion of the foreign world."

—George Washington
September, 17, 1796

payment of tribute to Walter Reuther by any American who wants to work. Such was the situation as behind-the-scenes negotiations for a Bresnev-Kosygin visit to Washington continued and unconcealed Bresnev-Kosygin missile offers to Ho Chi Minh unfolded.

The third force, that voracious anti-white, anti-western mass which habitually votes as a bloc in the General Assembly of UN, look upon Europe, and beyond Europe America, as their oyster. (In 1964 they mulcted America and her fellow "haves" out of \$8.15 billion.) De Gaulle, deftly balancing America's dollar on the brink with one hand while holding a sword over NATO with the other, played the Third Force against the West, Moscow against Washington, satellites against Moscow, and Peking against the "economic imperialists" in Latin America and Africa. In Africa the Organization for African Unity, backed by both Washington and Moscow, worked to regiment a more efficacious bloc against the West, an exact negation of the principle of "divide and rule" by which unruly nations were once channeled away from a concerted threat against law and order.

What is this Europe, at stake in a struggle which the West seems not trying to win? Think of it as a great table, 3.8 million square miles in surface, 2,500 miles from north to south, 3,800 miles from east to west. Its heartland runs from northern France through southern Belgium to Russia's juncture with Asia, where Gregorian calendar meets the year of the snake. Mineral-rich mountains surround Europe's plains. Some 550 million people, not counting citizens of the Soviet Union, are as inexorably conditioned as ever was Pavlov's pig.

Three main divisions and numerous smaller, overlapping ones separate Europe into geographical and social bodies which the combined international Left plays, one against another. An inner struggle for power exists within the international Left. The UN, on New York's East River, aspires to world leadership. So does communism, whose world capital is Moscow. So does Marxist socialism, the geographical capital of which is Brussels. But in the struggle to destroy society as it was, the three are solidly together, perhaps because Moscow regards communist domination of the UN as already in the bag and the socialists think that a mellowing Moscow will eventually succumb to them.

The Three Principal Economic Divisions of the European Table are the Common Market 6, the "Outer 7" and Moscow's communist bloc.

The Common Market 6 package deal wraps up France, Germany, Holland, Luxembourg, Belgium and Italy. Think of it essentially as a regional one-world seed-group, held up to the public as an economic union until it "jelled," then turned by the Spaak socialist

A Nuerenberg Trial In U.S.?

Why not hold a "Nuerenberg Trial" in the United States?

By O. E. Edstrom

(Written a few years after the close of World War II.)

Now that a precedent has been set how people shall be treated who attempt to save their country, their homes, their families, and themselves, from being enslaved by the African and Mongolian Jews—why not prescribe the same treatment for those who start wars—those who are the aggressors?

If the charges made by Tyler Kent were not true—why was not the whole affair aired in open court—in the United States, not in England—so the whole world could have been so informed, instead of throwing him into a British prison for seven years—against all International Law?

If true, as must be the case, as there has been no attempt to disprove those serious charges—why was not Roosevelt brought to trial for high treason for planning with Churchill to control the world by betraying us into a second World War for the Jews?

Why was not Roosevelt hailed before the people and charged with treason and murder for ordering the sinking of several German ships, before he succeeded in getting Congress to declare war on Germany?

If, as has now been established by the Jews themselves, it is criminal for an officer to obey orders from his government—why were not all officers who had anything to do with the sinking of German ships, including all politicians in Washington who had anything to do with it, not brought before "a Bar of Justice" and charged with cold-blooded murder?

Why was not Roosevelt, and those who murdered German Scientists in Greenland—Scientists who were there by permission of proper authorities—not charged with wanton murder?

When Roosevelt—eight months before Congress declared war—and while proving himself to be the world's champion liar, sent American troops to Iceland, entirely against the will of the Icelanders, in addition to previously building bunkers for our troops in Ireland and England—did he not commit treason against the American people?

Was not the "confiscation" of Japanese holdings in this country, before Japan had committed one single aggression against the United States; the "order" to "shoot" anything Japanese in the Pacific; the ultimatum to Japan—all so evidently for the purpose of forcing Japan to commit an overt act that no real American will attempt to disprove that deduction? Which brings up this question:

Why was not Roosevelt impeached and tried for treason then? Had he been—World War 2 would never have taken place.

Was the "gift" of 50 American warships to England—after first spending \$50,000,000 of the American taxpayers' money to repair them—anything but treason to the American people?

Was the "gift" of 100 ships to Joe Stalin—after Japan had twice offered to surrender—once before Iwo Jima and Okinawa and again before the Jews committed the world's most fiendish crime—the bombing of Hiroshima and Nagasaki—anything but treason?

Can it be called anything but treason when Roosevelt handed over, during 1942-43-44, \$6,000,000,000 of the American taxpayers' money—without the knowledge of said taxpayers—to the South American countries, as bribes for them to come in and assist the International Jews to take the world over?

If this is not treason, what is it? When Roosevelt, at the booze-swilling party he and Stalin held in Yalta, signed away territories, islands and whole nations to Stalin—properties he had no jurisdiction over—excepting as representative of a nation powerful enough



"And while I am talking to you fathers and mothers, I give you one more assurance. I have said this before, but I shall say it again and again, your boys are not going to be sent into any foreign wars."

—Franklin D. Roosevelt
Boston, Oct. 30, 1940

to ride rough-shod over smaller and weaker nations, was that treason? It is reported that 16,000 bottles of booze was downed at that meeting; that FDR swilled 30 to 40 toasts to his bosom, criminal friend, Holy Joe.

Can anyone think of anything more criminal and treasonable than when Ike, with, naturally, Roosevelt's blessing, turned some 10,000,000 Germans over to Russia; about 500,000 each to England and France—as slaves? Remember this: Over three years after the war ended—all of these human beings were still held as slaves, murdered or starved to death. And that is what our sons were sent to Europe for—sent there to be slaughtered while making it possible for the International Jews to murder or enslave the Christians there—people who had the courage to resist the inhuman fiends.

Why are not Ike and the rest of the officers responsible for carrying out Roosevelt's orders charged with the world's greatest and most loathsome slavery?

Why were not Roosevelt, Eisenhower and other brass-hats not charged with treason when they held the United States Army back so the Russians Mongolian Army could take Berlin—after they had caused the slaughter of our sons to make such capture by the Soviets possible?

As long as Ike was Commander in Chief in Europe—why is he not now called on the carpet and accused, as he should be, of being responsible for carrying out the damnable Jew Plan for demoralizing the white race by permitting the American Negro soldiers to take 5,000 white German girls from their homes and keep them in a tunnel for several days, there to rape and abuse them? Why is he not brought to trial now for that fiendish crime?

Why should not Harry Solomon Truman and Henry Morgenthau be tried for treason for turning over the American money-printing plates to Stalin—thereby permitting him to print all the money he needs in Germany—monies the American taxpayer must redeem?

Was it not treason for Roosevelt to turn over Manchuria; half of Korea, and the Karelian Islands to the Soviet Union, so they could be stripped of all the wonderful improvements Japan built in those countries—in addition to making all the people there slaves to the Mongolian Khazars.

Why are not William C. Bullitt, Henry Morgenthau and Major Henry H. Arnold called on to show cause why they should not be held for treason for permitting the French to inspect our plane secrets—secrets which

were supposed to be so important that even Congress was not permitted to find out about them?

Is it not treason to the American people when the Jews can force American officers to wear a Star of David on their caps? This fact so encouraged a Jewish officer that he—sneeringly—told another officer, a Christian: "Certainly, you are fighting for Jews."

Is it not a matter of treason that the American dollar bill is embellished with Jewish Symbols? Why are not those responsible for these crimes hailed into court and charged with treason?

The very fact that the Navy Department "quietly" decorated Navy personnel for their part in the convoy duty, long before Pearl Harbor—should be evidence enough to convict Roosevelt and all high grade brass-hats of committing a treasonable act when convoying such ships—and that such treasonable act plunged the United States into World War 2.

After Attorney General Biddle stated: "The Communist Party believes in, advocates and teaches the overthrow by force and violence of the Government of the United States," is it not then a matter of treason to promote those men to officers in the United States Army—where they are in position to effectively betray the country they have sworn to protect?

"General, I can forgive an officer for making a mistake, I can understand that an officer may lose a battle. But if he betrays the security of his country—he should be taken out and shot."

That is not a statement by the writer—it is a quotation from a statement made by Senator Styles Bridges in regard to General Joseph T. McNarney, then Deputy Chief of Staff to General George Marshall, on May 19, 1944, when McNarney ordered "All War Department files dealing with subversive personnel to be destroyed."

Question. Did General Marshall issue those orders to General McNarney? Do you, as an American, consider that order, regardless of who had the "authority" to issue it, patriotic, or do you consider it treasonable?

Why should not Harry Solomon Truman—the only person who had the authority to order the bombing of Hiroshima and Nagasaki; the one who carried out the order—including everyone who had a leading part in committing the world's most horrifying crime of the cold-blooded murder of hundreds of thousands of innocent old men, women and children in those cities—be held for murder in the first degree?

Why are not the United Nations' real objects revealed to the people, so they can judge for themselves if they wish to support what it really stands for—taking the world over for International Jewry?

Why are not the American people told that the atom bomb has been turned over to Communist-controlled Jews? Are they afraid the American people would not stand for such treachery—were they informed of the truth regarding that all-important matter?

—Why not tell the American people that the real reason for betraying the Arabs, by robbing them of their homeland and turning it over to the International Jews, is this:

So the Jews can grab the two greatest treasures in the world—the chemicals in the Dead Sea, and the oil fields—both of which are the richest on earth, wealth which will enable them to buy, bribe and coerce the leaders of most of the nations to sell out to them. When that has become an accomplished fact—they'll set themselves up as Supreme Rulers of the World—as they have said they would, making Palestine the Capital of the World.

The process of taking Palestine over has furnished the Jews with an excuse to put the finishing touch over for complete world control—A World Army, an army to be completely controlled by the Jews, the last and final step to successfully enslave the human race.

Within a few weeks after V-J Day, in the fall of 1945, jigs, dies and fixtures for producing the B-29 bombers, at Wichita Boeing plant, which cost \$48,000,000 to design and build, were destroyed under government orders.

About \$100,000 was realized from that huge investment.

Suppose that we get into another war—which now looks too likely will be the case—and due to that criminal act, thousands of our sons will be needlessly slaughtered while that plant, and others which have been criminally destroyed, are being rebuilt—even then some people will think that the charge of treason against those responsible for such crimes, will be unfair. What do you think?

That a short wave transmitter was found behind a secret panel in the home of R. Adm. Eugeni Georgevich Glinkov, senior Russian Naval Attache, in the United States, was revealed Sept. 26th, 1947. Has anyone heard anything about charging anyone with treasonable negligence for that situation—which would have been the case had a similar incident occurred in Russia?

Now that it is admitted spies from all sections of the world have infiltrated into the United States—by way of the United

Nations—does that not furnish the link, if one really were needed, that that organization is the center of world espionage?

Why should not the following persons be called upon to show their activities in betraying the United States into World War 2—which war was for the purpose of killing off enough Christians so International Jewry could take the world over, and make slaves of all the people therein?

Bernard Baruch, Eleanor Roosevelt, Judge Samuel Rosenman, Justice Prof. Felix Frankfurter, Henry Morgenthau, Jr., Herbert Lehman, Rose Schneiderman, Prof. Rex Tugwell, David E. Lilienthal, Jessie I. Strauss, Adolph J. Sabath, Benjamin Cohen, Ben Hecht, Anna M. Rosenberg, Harold Ickes, Dr. Stephen S. Wise, Rabbi Hillel Silver, Albert Einstein, Laurence Steinhardt, Emanuel Celler, Max Lerner, Nathan Strauss, Charles Michelson, David Dubinsky, Drew Pearson, Walter Winchell, Cecil Brown, Gabriel Heatter, Justice Robert Jackson, Cordell Hull, Sumner Wells, Frances Biddle, and a very large percent of movie producers, writers, actors and actresses in Hollywood?

Some of us are doing everything we can, devoting all our time, all of the little money we have to enlighten the people about what the Jews are doing to all of us. However, unless you do your part in circulating the information we put into print, we can do but little.

Why not hold a "NUERENBERG TRIAL" in the UNITED STATES?

that China is prepared to sacrifice half of its 600 million people so the remaining 300 million can then go on to communize what's left of the world—what are we going to do about China? Wait until she gets the Bomb? Join our Soviet allies again, to protect them against the mother-devouring Chinese monster?

Many weak-kneed or lame-brained people in the State Department, Congress and Big Business want to recognize, give aid to, and do business with Red China. Anything for "peace." Anything for a dollar. Most of these same leaders recommend killing the Connally amendment which has protected America from abiding by certain decisions of the World Court which is made up of seven Communists, seven "neutrals," and one American. Our "liberal" leaders want to force America to abide by the decisions of that Court!

In a few years the Soviet Union will have most of the world's souls and real estate, And Red China will have the Bomb. We are now being told that our only choice is to sacrifice our sovereignty and freedom by turning everything over to the U. N. This is the choice of being clawed to death by a ferocious bear or hugged to death by a friendly boa constrictor.

The Russian bear was bred, nurtured and raised to grisly manhood by Roosevelt, Truman and Eisenhower. The Russian bear kept getting bigger, stronger and hungrier; so we fed other nations to her, hoping to secure her friendship, satisfy her hunger or, at most, hoping she'd destroy us last.

Who brought us to the brink of slavery?

America's sweetheart, Eleanor Roosevelt, has belonged to 120 organizations cited as Communist fronts by the House Committee on Un-American Activities. Of course, she is not a Communist. She'd be far less of a menace to America if she were. Dupes and do-good-ers in high places, fatheads and fools in influential positions are far more dangerous to the safety of America than any American Communist except those at Cape Canaveral and other very vital defense spots.

They planned it that way. That doesn't mean that our leaders, many of them, are necessarily Communists. It does mean that they adopted Karl Marx's ideas: The graduated income tax, abolishment (as yet graduated) of the right of inheritance. Marx said those two things were sufficient to destroy capitalism and our way of life, making it "fall like a ripe fruit" into their despotic hands.

The issue is not "military security" vs. a "balanced budget." The issue is non-defense spending vs. national security; balanced budget vs. bankruptcy; honest dollars vs. home-front handouts; freedom vs. slavery.

The spenders promote welfare-as-usual, national and world-wide, while expressing alarm at our weak defenses. Either our defenses are adequate or all domestic spending should be cut to the bone. If the nation's defenses are weak, the politicians who vote for the billion dollar omnibus housing bill, the aid to education bills, federal aid to depressed areas, urban renewal, the Forand socialized medicine bill, the various bills to pay absentee landlords for non-farming—certainly should be impeached if our defense effort is suffering because of gigantic spending. And now they're busy building bomb shelters, with our money, for themselves. They must live, in order to negotiate our surrender.

Those who got us into the wrong war, at the wrong time, against the wrong enemy; those who told us our Russian allies just needed to "understand" us; those who told us the Chinese Reds were just "agrarian reformers" trying to throw off the tyranny of Chiang Kai-shek; those who made Soviet imperialism the greatest menace to civilization in the history of the world; those who told us Old Glory must share its place with the U. N. flag; that our children must be taught not to love America, but to love all nations; those who gave Cuba to the enemy... if the good Lord should see fit to let the Communists bomb us, I pray that in His infinite wisdom He'll see fit to take those leaders first.

Treason! Treason! Treason!

By Tom Anderson

Reprinted from Georgia Farmer

What and who is a traitor? Most American patriots would agree that Dr. Klaus Fuchs and the Rosenbergs, who gave the A-bomb secrets to the Communists, were traitors.

Some pacifists, of course, believe these traitors accomplished a great stroke for peace by converting many nations from our side to neutrality. For his monstrous crime which could enslave the world, Fuchs, a citizen of Great Britain, served nine and one-half years in prison and is now free and living in the Soviet Union.

Up to 1952 Russians hadn't made an A-bomb. With the help of American traitors, they just walked out of our plants with the parts and put them together.

Harry Dexter White, while serving in Henry Morgenthau's Treasury Department, slipped some of our money plates to the Russians. They printed hundreds of millions of dollars of our money—and may still be doing it. After he did this, White was promoted.

Harry Bridges, labor czar of our 50th State, announced that if Chiang Kai-shek were to send troops from Formosa to Red China, he, Bridges, would have his union boycott and tie up all West Coast and Hawaiian shipping to prevent U. S. troops and arms from reaching Formosa. Bridges, who should be tried for treason, is riding high. And Congress lacks the courage and morality to pass a labor bill which will free the enslaved American worker from traitors, gangsters, and international Socialist leaders.

Some Administration officials have lied about the fact that our "allies" are funneling our war materials into the Soviet bloc. So someday our own weapons may help destroy us. Guess who used to be in charge of the committee which decided what could be shipped to the Communist bloc? William Remington, who was convicted of perjury later for falsely denying membership in the Communist Party.

The same LEFT-WING, Socialist Americans who reveled in the "agrarian reforms" of the bearded Cuban Robin Hood now carry on ransom negotiations for a cynical weak and cowardly State Department. Instead of a swap-out with Castro at his suggestion

and on his terms, why not play him in a touch football game? We ought to be able to win that.

In addition to selling us men, Castro is still selling us huge quantities of agricultural products. Castro has arrested 150,000 Cubans. Why don't we trade Miami Beach for them?

Why were we not allowed by our own leaders to win the Korean War? Who promoted the Mikoyan and Khrushchev visits to America? Why is Freedom forever on the defensive? Always reacting instead of acting? Are we going to be bluffed into slavery?

Who persuaded Mr. Eisenhower to downgrade our flag in Panama? Who is promoting "recognition" and U. N. membership for the most foul dynasty in all history—the butchers of Red China?

Why were the State Department men most responsible for replacing Batista with Castro promoted instead of fired? Who is responsible for our subsidization of the enemy regimes in Yugoslavia and Poland? Why are some of our leaders for disarmament? Were these same people for disarmament when Germany was involved.

Why are some people obsessed with destroying the House Committee on Un-American Activities? Why is the Hollywood writer who defied and vilified HCUA, denounced the U.S.A. and got a 10-month sentence for contempt of Congress, now an oscar-winning hero?

Why not demand a Congressional investigation of our State Department and a full accounting of our officials' relations with the Soviets since 1933?

Wonder if the time will come when at war—hot war—with the Soviet Union and/or Red China we will hold treason trials for those who led us to it? Most assuredly, if hot war comes, those Americans (still living) who lined us up with Russia as allies and let the Communists enslave more than 40 percent of the world's people... shouldn't they be tried for being treasonably wrong—before they surrender us?

Since Red China leaders have proclaimed that they would welcome atomic warfare; that a thermonuclear war would lead to a truly beautiful future for the survivors;

1965—"The Year of the Snake"

—o— Continued from page 1 —o—

camp into a catalyzer around which further states would crystallize. Internal conflict exists where Spaak aims clash with De Gaulle's.

In the "Outer 7" are Britain, Portugal, Denmark, Norway, Sweden, Switzerland and Austria. The six continental nations are cards in Britain's hand, to play against the Common Market 6. Socialist Sweden will, in a showdown, serve as Spaak's fifth column in the British camp. The Common Market countries are all NATO allies of Portugal, and Britain is allied with Portugal in both NATO and the Outer 7; nevertheless, all these countries, along with America and Russia, are knifing Portugal in Angola and Mozambique.

Sitting on the eastern edge of the European table and watching the other two blocs alternately woo and knife each other is the Russian soviet empire. The Common Market and the Outer 7 have no networks of agents working within the Soviet Bloc, but Soviet agents work assiduously at every level within the Common Market and Outer 7. Marked for destruction by Moscow for having thwarted Stalin, and by both the Common Market and Outer 7 for having thwarted British laborites and Spaak socialists, is Spain. So put it this way: Western Europe gratuitously ostracizes and undermines the one European nation that has defeated Russia and whose fall would unleash a communist pincer-movement on themselves.

For Future Reference, a deeper Look at Common Market 6. The Common Market was born with the signing of the Treaty of Rome, on March 25, 1957. Said treaty called for the wiping out of customs barriers, unification of tariffs as regards other countries, and conformity of economic, financial and social policies under a Common Market commission before the end of 1969. A roundabout way of saying "sacrifice of national sovereignty to a mixed Common Market Committee."

The seat of the Common Market is on the seventh floor of the Belgian Ministry of Foreign Affairs, in Brussels. Its actual heads are Walter Halstein (Germany), President; Joseph Luns (Dutch Minister of Foreign Affairs) and Paul Henri Spaak (Belgium's socialist Minister of Foreign Affairs). A minister from each country makes up the Common Market Council. Any minister can halt a project by exercising his right of veto, but the custom is that, under charges of "putting selfish national interest before the common good," vetos are ultimately withdrawn. Result is a constant nibbling away of national sovereignty around the conference table.

A European Economic Commission of nine members (2 Italian, 2 French, 2 German and one Belgian, Luxembourgish and Dutch) serves as a sort of upper house for this "super-state seed-group." The 142-member European Parliament which sits in Strasbourg, (appointed by national assemblies of member countries) serves as a sort of consultative lower house, theoretically able by a two-thirds vote to unseat the Common Market Commission in Brussels but only likely to do so if the Commission blocks the expansionist policies of Hallstein, Spaak and Luns.

While an Effective Propaganda Campaign held the six-nation "package" up to the West, and particularly to America, as a utopian economic union, soft-pedalled were the causes nullifying existing immigration laws through creation of a constantly-floating labor force, at home in any country and loyal to no country. Eventual American adhesion would circumvent not only immigration laws but the Connally Reservation, which prevents an international court from meddling in American affairs. Another aim of the seed-group is the international currency, long advocated by Cabot Lodge and now visualized for September, 1965, when nations of the Big-10 financial group which met in Tokyo last summer will convene in Washington.

A communist break-through in France's March elections, a Willy Brandt victory in Germany or a further surge to the Left in



Italy would, in turn, show up in a deeper reddening of the Common Market Council. In brief: With the leftward swing of its members, the six-nation "packaged" super-state is inexorably sliding into the area penultimate to communism. Citizens who object are helpless. Washington, American labor and press support and approve the slide.

Paul Henri Spaak, the Belgian Socialist, was civilian head of NATO until 1961. Beguiled patriots slept peacefully, thinking he was guarding the ramparts. Then, as head of NATO, came Dutch Dirk Stikker, the friend of Joseph Luns, Spaak's associate in Common Market super-statism. Spaak returned to Brussels as Foreign Minister and extender of regional one-worldism within the Common Market framework. In 1963 he flew to Russia to prepare the ground (to Khrushchev's delight) for a partial nuclear test ban treaty which strait-jacketed America without inconveniencing Russia. Stikker left NATO in late 1964 to take a job with Dutch Shell Oil. NATO was then passed to Italian Manlio Brosio, in whose country one man in three votes Red. Where Mr. Brosio would go with his vast fund of information if Italy went communist is anybody's guess.

Another Common Ground of Communist, Socialist and Regional One-Worldism Action is the United Nations Special Committee on Colonialism, set up to push European powers out of Africa and Asia. 24 members make up this committee. Four are communist, twelve are Afro-Asian. This leaves the U. S. and the West with eight votes, and they partisans in the senseless crusade against colonialism. The committee's eight-member steering group comprises Bulgaria, Cambodia, India, Iraq, Mali, Sierra, Leone and Uruguay.

One of the U. S. Private organizations most active in assisting revolutionaries engaged in clearing colonies of the restraining force provided by Europeans has been the International Rescue Committee, which led American private organizations in aiding terrorist rebels active against our allies. In 1951, while Britain was fighting the Mau Mau in Kenya, IRC brought a fellow tribesman of Jomo Kenyatta, the Mau Mau leader, to America, and trained him to be a doctor. Similar aid was granted Algerians engaged in massacring the French, a small Angolan minority incited against Salazar, and communist Spanish exiles engaged in subversive action against the Franco government.

In 1961, Angier Biddle Duke, head of IRC, became President Kennedy's Chief of Protocol and proceeded to send the nephew of the Spanish Civil War finance minister who shipped Spain's gold reserve to Russia out to Texas, with a letter of introduction to a firm angling for government contracts. In late 1964, as America's disastrous Vietnam bungle came to a head, "Angie" Duke, deeply involved in the debacle, as head of the lobby that for years kept America hoodwinked while something could have been done, resigned as Chief of Protocol. Washington rumor has it that Duke will be named ambassador to Spain. If true, Spanish subversion will have an HQ in Madrid.

An Apparently Insignificant Innovation

Appeared in America. European exponents of the super-state were elated at America's non-resistance to the ZIP code. Hints of something greater than a handy device for expediting mail have crept into the press, but a nation occupied with other matters permitted them to pass unnoticed.

The official explanation is that some time—three or four years from now—the post office will have electronic machines capable of reading ZIP codes and speeding mail on its way. The New York Times of January 15, 1965, reported from Paris:

"On the first day of the New Year, France joined Germany and Switzerland in the International ZIP Code, and Europe took another step toward unity . . . Month by month, statesmen plot great policies and postmen devise joint ZIP codes that bring the nations closer together. And the closer they come, the greater the need for closeness. One step leads to another."

In the same paper. "ZIP codes, farm policy, tariffs, economic planning, and more" are praised as media through which economic unity will be spread through the 21-nation Organization For Economic Co-operation And Development which cuts across Common Market and Outer 7 lines. (A characteristic of all the socialist-inclined groupings is that non-membership in a given group is unimportant: membership in an overlapping group will guarantee continuity of the chain.)

What we arrive at is frank European recognition of the ZIP code as a psychological arm to make the citizen dissociate himself in his mind from a city, a region or a country and supplant sentimental names with a number. There is also a suggestion that the number may eventually be extended to cover houses on a street and individuals in the house, the latter under orders to report trips, vacations or changes of address to the post office, where whirring IBM machines will permit an efficient officialdom to put its finger on any citizen in a matter of minutes.

These are a few of the items on the world's trouble-potential list as we start the year of the snake.

DID YOU SAY TREASON?

READ AND BE INFORMED

ROOSEVELT'S ROAD TO RUSSIA

By George N. Crocker \$2.00

BEHIND COMMUNISM

By Frank Britton \$1.00

NONE DARE CALL IT TREASON

By J. A. Stormer (3 for \$1.00) 75¢

THE PENTAGON CASE

(Fiction based on fact)

By Victor Fox \$1.00

THE SECRET GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED STATES

By Mary M. Davison \$1.00

FRANKFURTER'S RED RECORD

"The man behind the men behind the President."

By Sam Crockett 50¢

THE LATTIMORE STORY

By John T. Flynn (reduced to) 50¢

Common Sense.

UNION, NEW JERSEY, U.S.A.

	U. S.	U. S. CANADA
	1ST CLASS	2ND CLASS
1 year	\$5.00	\$3.00
2 years	8.00	5.00
3 years	10.00	7.00
Lifetime	—	50.00
Foreign 1st Class — \$10.00 per year		
BULK COPIES — SAME or ASSORTED		
20 copies	—	\$1.00
100 copies	—	4.00
500 copies	—	15.00
1000 copies	—	20.00

Published twice monthly except July and August, once each, by

CHRISTIAN EDUCATIONAL ASSN.
520 Chestnut Street, Union, N. J. 07083